ABSTRACT:

INTRODUCTION: The microbial etiology of inflammatory periodontal diseases provides the rationale for the use of antimicrobial medication in periodontal therapy. Scaling and root planning (SRP) is generally the first treatment employed for periodontitis. Antibiotics may be prescribed for periodontal patients who do not respond to conventional mechanical therapy, for patients with acute periodontal infections associated with systemic manifestations, for prophylaxis in medically compromised patients, and as an adjunct to surgical and non-surgical periodontal therapy.

AIM: The objects of the study are:

1. To determine the value of the microbiological diagnosis with culturing method in the treatment planning of the periodontal diseases.

2. To evaluate the importance of the susceptibility testing for the target antimicrobial treatment of periodontitis.

3. To evaluate the effectiveness of the adjunctive target antimicrobial administration in periodontitis cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A total of 17 patients with aggressive or severe chronic periodontitis were included in this study. All patients must have at least 15 teeth and 4 periodontal sites with PD>4mm in different quadrants of the dentition. Microbiological samples were taken from the periodontal pockets using paper points. The samples were taken from tree categories periodontal sites with PD 3-5mm, 5-7mm and >7mm. All samples were analyzed with culture method. Selected colonies from the patients from the test groups were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility.

A standardized oral hygiene motivation program was applied to all patients. The Full Mouth Treatment approach was selected for therapy of the patients. Amoxicillin 500mg and Metronidazole 250mg tid for 10 days were administrated on the control group. The patients from the test group received antimicrobial treatment according to the results from the susceptibility analysis. Clinical, radiograph and microbiological evaluations are done again after 3 months.

RESULTS: The results from the microbiological analysis are presented in schemes.

CONCLUSION: The indiscriminate use of antimicrobials could be influencing the appearance of resistant strains associated with periodontal diseases in the population. That’s why the use of antibiotics must be based on susceptibility testing, instead of a unique protocol of adjunctive antimicrobial regimen.
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of the subgingival flora of chronic periodontitis has not yet been characterized. Nonetheless, small groups of specific bacterial species are now considered to be important in the initiation or progression (or both) of periodontitis. Often mentioned are *Bacteroides forsythus*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Treponema denticola*, and *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* (1, 4, 5, 12, 13).

Scaling and root planning (SRP) is generally the first treatment employed for periodontitis. Scaling may be performed with hand instruments alone or with the aid of an ultrasonic scaler. It is done to clean teeth thoroughly below the gum line, removing bacterial plaque, calculus (tartar), debris, necrotic tissue, and pus from pockets that form around infected teeth. Root planning involves cleaning and smoothing the root surface of an infected tooth after scaling so that the gingival tissue can heal close to the root, shrinking the tissue and reducing the depth of the pocket that had formed. SRP is intended to reduce the bacterial load, shrink swollen and inflamed gingiva, and recondition the subgingival ecology, making it biologically compatible with optimal healing and reattachment of epithelium to the root surface (1, 7).

The infectious etiology of the periodontal diseases justifies the long use of antibiotics in the periodontal therapy. Today we know that the diseases caused from microbial biofilms, like the chronic periodontitis, are very difficult to treat. The routine use of antimicrobials in the treatment of the chronic periodontitis is questionable because of the enormously high resistance of the microorganisms in the biofilms, and the very difficult antibiotic penetration (2, 3, 8-11, 14-18).

**AIM:**
The objects of the study are:
1. To determine the value of the microbiological diagnosis with culturing method in the treatment planning of the periodontal diseases.
2. To evaluate the importance of the susceptibility testing for the target antimicrobial treatment of periodontitis.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness of the adjunctive target antimicrobial administration in periodontitis cases

This presentations reviews only the first part of the study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:**
A total of 17 patients with aggressive or severe chronic periodontitis were included in this study. All patients must have at least 15 teeth and 4 periodontal sites with PD>4mm in different quadrants of the dentition. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, lactation, systemic antibiotic administration or periodontal therapy in the previous 3 months, and suffering from serious chronic medical conditions (e.g., diabetes mellitus, kidney or liver disease) or presenting with an acute systemic infection. The patients were divided in two groups by coin toss. Microbiological samples were taken from the periodontal pockets using paper points. The samples were taken from tree categories periodontal sites with PD 3-5mm, 5-7mm and >7mm.

All samples were analyzed with culture method. Selected colonies from the patients from the test groups were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility to Tetracycline, Amoxicillin, Metronidazole, Clindamycin, Azitromycin, and Ciprofloxacin.

A standardized oral hygiene motivation program was applied to all patients. The Full Mouth Treatment approach was selected for the mechanical therapy of the patients. Amoxicilline 500mg and Metronidazole 250 mg tid for 10 days were administrated on the patients from the control group. The patients from the test group received antimicrobial treatment according to the results from the susceptibility analysis. Clinical, radiograph and microbiological evaluations are done again after 3 months.

**RESULTS:**
The results from the culturing are presented in the following schemes:
AEROBES:

**Fig. 1.** Aerobic isolates from pockets with probing depth from 3-5mm.

**Fig. 2.** Aerobic isolates from pockets with probing depth from 5-7mm.
In 6% of shallow periodontal pockets with PD 3-5mm the periodontal pockets presented aerobic isolates Aggregatibacter aphrophilus has been detected. The percentage of this pathogen rises with the increase of the pocket depth – 12% in pockets with PD 5-7mm and 11% with PD above 7mm. This results support the adjunctive antibiotic administration in patients with advanced periodontitis and prevalent pocket depth above 5mm.

**ANAEROBES**

**PD 3-5mm**

- **BPPS(+) + Actinomyces odontolyticus**: 12%
- **Totally negative samples**: 17%
- **Lack of isolated pathogens**: 17%
- **T. forsythia**: 6%
- **Tanerella forsythia + BPPS(+) + Actinomyces odontolyticus**: 6%
- **P. gingivalis**: 6%
- **P. intermedia**: 6%
- **P. gingivalis + T. forsythia**: 6%
- **P. intermedia + T. forsythia**: 6%

**PD >7mm**

- **Totally negative samples**: 29%
- **Lack of isolates**: 6%
- **Streptococcus oralis**: 6%
- **Viridans group (Beneficial flora)**: 6%
- **α-streptococcus (Beneficial flora)**: 6%
- **Neisseria subflavia**: 6%
- **Aggregatibacter aphrophilus**: 12%
- **Haemophilus parainfluenzae + Streptococcus sanguis**: 6%
- **Moraxella osloensis + α-streptococcus**: 12%
- **Arcanobacterium pyogenes + Kingella denitrificans**: 6%
- **Aggregatibacter aphrophilus + Gemella morbillorum**: 6%

**Fig. 3.** Aerobic isolates from pockets with probing depth above 7mm.

**Fig. 4.** Anaerobic isolates from pockets with probing depth from 3-5mm.
Fig. 5. Anaerobic isolates from pockets with probing depth from 5-7mm.

Fig. 6. Anaerobic isolates from pockets with probing depth above 7mm.
The results from the cultivation of the subgingival isolates reveals increasing of the presence of periodontopathogens with the increase of the probing depth. In the pockets with PD 3-5mm P.gingivalis and P.intermedia are isolated in 12% of the patients, T.forsytha in 24%. The pockets with PD 5-7 mm have P.gingivalis in 18% of the patients, P.intermedia in 12% and T.forsytha in 35%. The pockets with PD above 7mm have P.gingivalis in 18%, P.intermedia in 30% and T.forsytha also in 30% of the patients. With the increase of the probing depth the subgingival flora becomes more complex. In the tree groups of pockets P.gingivalis and P.intermedia are isolated as monoinfection in 6% of the patients. In the other patients a polyinfection is detected with the simultaneous presence of P.intermedia + Tanerella forsytha; Black-pigmented Prevotella species indol-negative - BPPS(I-)+ T.forsytha; P.intermedia + BPPS(I-)+ P.gingivalis + T.forsytha; P.gingivalis + Pintermedia; Pintermedia + Tanerella forsytha + Actinomyces odontolyticus; The three periodontopathogens were simultaneously isolated only in the pockets with PD above 7mm in 6% of the patients.

The increase of the presence and the complexity of the microbiota in the subgingival biofilm with the increasing of the probing depth advocates the administration of adjunctive antimicrobials in periodontitis patients with predominant pocket probing depth more than 5mm to ensure the elimination of the pathogens from the subgingival region.

The results from the antimicrobial susceptibility analysis for the anaerobic species are presented in Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance of the isolates</th>
<th>P. gingivalis</th>
<th>P. intermedia</th>
<th>P. endodontalis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin and Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12,50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline and Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12,50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Antimicrobial resistance of the anaerobic isolates.

The data from the antimicrobial susceptibility reveals significant percent resistance to Tetracycline (33% and 38% accordingly) and 13% of the P. intermedia isolates are resistant to Amoxicillin. Multiple resistances have been detected only for P. intermedia strains - 12.5% of them were resistant to Amoxicillin and Ciprofloxacin and 12.5% to Tetracycline and Ciprofloxacin. Monoresistance to Ciprofloxacin was not detected in the presented study. These results suggest that for improvement of the efficacy of the nonsurgical therapy in advanced periodontitis cases is appropriate to choose the antimicrobial agent after susceptibility testing. This approach could limit the need for surgical procedures in the periodontitis treatment.

**CONCLUSION:**

The adjunctive administration of systemic antibiotics could contribute to better control of the periodontal disease in cases with severe chronic or aggressive periodontitis. This approach could lead to less frequent recall visits and limit the need for periodontal surgery.

The indiscriminate use of antimicrobials could be influencing the appearance of resistant strains associated with periodontal diseases in the population. That’s why the use of antibiotics must be based on susceptibility testing, instead of a unique protocol of adjunctive antimicrobial regimen.
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