ABSTRACT

Introduction. The mucosa over the alveolar ridges by totally edentulous patients is with varying thickness and mobility at different places and is distorted at the time of impression procedure. This distortion duplicated in the finished dentures causes inflammation and instability of the dentures.

The aim of the authors is to present three methods for selective pressure impressions for total dentures' treatment by patients who had different kind of localization and abnormalities of the thickness and mobility of the alveolar ridges’ mucosa.

Materials and methods. 15 edentulous patients, 9 women and 6 men, mean age 64 years, with different kind of mucosal abnormalities were divided into three groups and treated with full dentures. Three different impression techniques are used for selective pressure impressions.

Results. The silicone pressure tests at the delivery appointment showed even layer of the material over the base plate of the dentures, except for two patients from the first group. W ith The patients mention for comfort and satisfaction during the usage of the new dentures, compared with the previous one.

Discussion. The secondary impression causes some displacement no matter how carefully is made. The suggested three methods eliminates the excessive displacement of the soft tissues at the secondary impression thus a physiologic and anatomic registration of the attached and the unattached tissue of the denture bearing areas are attained.
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INTRODUCTION

The mucosa over the alveolar ridges by totally edentulous patients is with different thickness and mobility. In some regions it is thick from 2-4 mm and the vertical pressure causes distortion of the mucosa, which rebounds after the pressure is eliminated. In other places where the atrophy of the procesus alveolaris was quick and advanced, the mucosa has no bone support and becomes loose and flabby. Such mucosa is more than 4 mm thick and forms folds usually at the frontal part of the ridges and floating tubers maxillae /1,2,3,6/.

The impression making in total denture treatment is of great importance, not only for denture retention and stability but also for the mucosa status which should be kept and reflected without any distortions. /4,5/

The aim of the authors is to present three methods for selective pressure impressions for total dentures’ treatment by patients who had different kind of localization and abnormalities of the thickness of alveolar ridges’ mucosa.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

15 edentulous patients, 9 women and 6 men, mean age 64 years, with different kind of mucosal abnormalities were treated. From them 8 patients, 4 women and 4 man, had flabby mucosa on different places of the alveolar ridges of the both jaws (the first group), 3 women had mucosal folds in the frontal area of the both jaws and 1 man of the upper jaw only (the second group) and 3 patients, two women and one man, had floating tubera maxillae (the third group) Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Flabby and hypermobile mucosa at the front part of the alveolar ridge
Fig. 2. Floating tuber maxillae

By the first group of patients we used the following method. On the first model the places with flabby mucosa were delineated and as well the places on the “linea raphe mediana” and “torus palatinus”. Those spots were then covered with a wax layer 1mm in thickness. After that an individual tray out of shell lack base plate material are formed and holes are drilled at the places corresponding to the critical spots mentioned earlier with a bur N14 approximately 5mm apart.

By patients with hypermobile mucosa at the front part, the so called “pendulous tissues”of the alveolar ridges, we used a method, including an individual tray out of auto polymerizing acrylic resin Duracrol, Spofa Dental in which the anterior part is removed kike a “window” corresponding to the place of the mucosal folds. The handle than is prepared in the form of platforms at the distal parts of the tray. This method is described in details in previous publication of ours /8/.

By patients with floating tubera maxillae light-curing polymer base plate were used after the following preparations. On the first model the tubera maxillae and the torus palatinus were delineated and relieved with a layer of wax 1mm in thickness. Another base plate wax covered the whole basal seat i.e. the surface outlined for the tray. Wax is cut away in locations where stops are desired. The tray is completed with the wax spacer as relief. The handle was in form of platforms placed bilaterally, not reaching the tubera. Holes are drilled at the places corresponding to the tubera maxillae and torus palatinus with a bur N14 approximately 3-4 mm apart.

All the impressions were taken after a precise adjustment of the individual tray for stability, retention and muscle interferences.

The impression itself included two phases. By the first step we form and impress the peripheral seal/physiological borders/ with high viscosity silicone impression material (Xantopren function,Heraeus Kulzer, Gmbh&Co.K.G.). After that we put low viscosity “C” silicone impression material (Xantopren M, Heraeus Kulzer, Gmbh&Co.K.G.) as a wash impression with moderate pressure. By the second group the silicone material is put by syringe at the place of the “window”. The prostheses were processed and at the first appointment a silicone pressure test with Stomaflex-crueme, Spofa Dental was made at the delivery appointment. Control appointments were arranged at the 2nd, 7th, 14th day and after a month.

RESULTS

The silicone pressure tests at the delivery appointment showed even layer of the material over the base plate of the dentures, except for two patients from the first group where there were places of excessive pressure spot and the acrylic was seen under the silicone layer. The regions were marked on the dentures and the corresponding parts were corrected with an appropriate stone. On the subsequent appointments the mucosal state of the denture bearing areas was carefully observed and palpated for inflammatory signs but there weren’t any. The patients mention for comfort and satisfaction during the usage of the new dentures, compared with the previous one.

DISCUSSION

The secondary impression causes some displacement no matter how carefully is made. Such tissue distortion can result in irritation and dislodgement if duplicated in the finished complete denture. The effects of tissue displacement and distortion during impression making should be eliminated. The use of holes, windows and wax relieve reduces the hydraulic pressure and minimize the displacement of the bearing tissues. The suggested three methods eliminates the excessive displacement of the soft tissues at the secondary impression thus a physiologic and anatomic registration of the attached and the unattached tissue of the denture bearing areas are attained.
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